



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/613,090	07/07/2003	Yong Cheol Park	0465-1039P	5080
2292	7590 11/30/2004		EXAMINER	
BIRCH ST	TEWART KOLASCH	TRAN, THANG V		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2653	
			DATE MAILED: 11/30/2004	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Office Action Survey	10/613,090	PARK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	Thang V. Tran	2653			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	ely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status		•			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E					
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-63 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-63 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers					
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.				
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ accepted or b)☐ objected to by the Examiner.					
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	- ', /	` '			
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex					
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been receive (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No. <u>09/362,375</u> . Id in this National Stage			
Attachment(s)					
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary				
 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	atent Application (PTO-152)			

Art Unit: 2653

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. Claims 1-28 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claims 1 and 10:

The claim recites a step of transferring information on defective areas listed on a DMA; however, it is unclear to where the information is transferred.

In claim 11:

The claim recites a step of transferring information on the skipped defective blocks; however, it is unclear to where the information is transferred. Also, it is unclear why information on the skipped defective blocks needs to be transferred since it has never been used later.

In claim 14:

It is unclear how the writing step recited in this claim is relatively operated in combination with skipping step and writing step recited in claim 10, lines 14-17.—It seems to be contradicted to each other.

In claim 16:

It is unclear to where the information on defective area is returned as recited in lines 1-2. Also, it is unclear as to how defective blocks are recognized if they are present at positions designated by the real time write command.

Art Unit: 2653

In claim 17:

It is unclear how the writing step recited in this claim is relatively operated in combination with writing step in claim 14 and skipping step and writing step recited in claim 10, lines 14-17.

In claim 18:

The claim recites a step of transferring information on defective areas listed on a DMA; however, it is unclear to where the information is transferred.

In claim 19:

The claim recites a step of transferring information on the newly encountered defective blocks; however, it is unclear to where the information is transferred. Also, it is unclear why information on the newly encountered defective blocks needs to be transferred since it has never been used later.

In claim 23:

It is unclear to where the information on defective area is returned as recited in lines 1-2. Also, it is unclear as to how defective blocks are recognized if they are present at positions designated by the real time write command.

In claim 25:

The claim recites a step of transferring information on defective areas listed on a DMA; however, it is unclear to where the information is transferred.

Claims 2-9, 12, 13, 15, 20-22, 24 and 26-28 fall with their respective parent claim.

2. Due to the uncertain nature of claims 14-17, no art is applied to determined their allowability at this time. However, Applicant attention is drawn to Fig. 6 of Fukushima et al (US 5,237,553).

Art Unit: 2653

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claims 1-8, 10, 11, 25-63 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Fukushima et al. (US 5,237,553).

Regarding claim 1, see Figs. 1-6, which show a method for writing or reproducing a data to/from an optical recording medium having a defect management areas (list areas) for managing a defective area, comprising steps of: determining whether data to be written is a real time data (see column 8, lines 41-44); transferring information on defective areas listed on the defect management areas (list areas) prior to writing a real time data, if the data to be written is a real time data (see column 8, lines 45-62); generating a write command such that the defective areas are not allocated to said real-time data to be written based upon the information on the defective areas (see column 9, lines 13-22); and writing the real-time data on the optical recoding medium in response to said write command (see column 9, lines 22-27).

Regarding claims 2-5, see list areas C and G in Fig. 4a-4c_and_sector address of the defective sectors stored therein.

Regarding claim 6, see Fig. 3 and Fig. 6, steps 56-58.

Regarding claim7, see steps 55-57 in Fig. 6

Regarding claim 8, see column 9, lines 62-68.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2653

Regarding claim 10, see the rejection applied to claim 1 above and further see column 9, lines 62-68 for the skipping step and writing step further recited in claim 10

Regarding claim 11, see column 9, lines 27-50 and column 10, lines 50-65.

Regarding claims 25 and 26, see the rejection applied to claim 10.

Regarding claims 27-28, see Fig. 3 and Fig. 6, steps 56-58.

Regarding claim 29, see the rejection applied to claim 1

Regarding claims 30-32, see list areas C and G in Fig. 4a-4c and sector address of the defective sectors stored therein.

Regarding claim 33, see Fig. 3 and Fig. 6, steps 56-58.

Regarding claim 34, see steps 55-57 in Fig. 6

Regarding claim 35, see column 9, lines 62-68.

Regarding claim 37, see the rejection applied to claim 1

Regarding claims 38-39, see list areas C and G in Fig. 4a-4c and sector address of the defective sectors stored therein.

Regarding claims 40-41, see Fig. 3 and Fig. 6, steps 56-58.

Regarding claim 42, see the rejection applied to claim 1

Regarding claims 43-44, see list areas C and G in Fig. 4a-4c and sector address of the defective sectors stored therein.

Regarding claims 45-46, see Fig. 3 and Fig. 6, steps 56-58.

Regarding claims 47 and 52, see the rejection applied to claim 1

Regarding claims 48 and 49, see list areas C and G in Fig. 4a-4c and sector address of the defective sectors stored therein.

Art Unit: 2653

Regarding claims 50 and 51, see Fig. 3 and Fig. 6, steps 56-58.

Regarding claims 53 and 59, see Fig. 2 which shows an optical recording medium comprising: a defect management area (list areas) for managing defective areas; a data area ((data areas) including at least one defective area; a spare area (see spare area in Fig. 2) and file area (volume control area). The wherein statement, recited in claim 53, lines 4-8 on in claim 59, lines 5-11, is directed to an intended use of the optical recording medium to be used with other device or apparatus; therefore, no patentable weight is given to the information in the wherein statement. Nevertheless, see column 9, lines 6-22 and column 10, lines 63-56 for the limitations in the wherein statement as recited in claim 53 or 59.

Regarding claims 54-58 and 60-63, see Fig. 3.

Allowable Subject Matter

- 5. Claims 9, 12 and 13 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.
- 6. Claims 18-24 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.
- 7. Claims 9, 12, 13 and 18-24 are allowable over the prior art of record because the prior art of record, considered in combination or individually, fails to suggest or fairly teach a writing and/or reproducing method including a combination of all limitations as particularly recited in each of claims 9, 12 and 18. Claims 13 and 19-23 are allowable with their respective parent claim.

Art Unit: 2653

8. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the

examiner should be directed to Thang V. Tran whose telephone number is (703) 308-1551. The

examiner can normally be reached on M-F 9:30AM-6:00PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's

supervisor, William Korzuch can be reached on 703 305-6137. The fax phone number for the

organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Thang V. Tran

Primary Examiner

Page 7

Art Unit 2653